



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,130	06/19/2003	John F. Kay	02738.0030.CPUS02	5589

22910 7590 04/06/2006

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
28th FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02109-9601

EXAMINER

SILVERMAN, ERIC E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/600,130		KAY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Eric E. Silverman, PhD		1615	

All Participants:

(1) Eric E. Silverman, PhD.

(2) John Iwanicki.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:15 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
as of record

Claims discussed:
as of record

Prior art documents discussed:
as of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to the status of the case, since no response had appears in IFW. Applicant replied that a response was timely filed, and that a date-stamped receipt was received from the USPTO. Examiner advised Applicant to re-submit the response, with the receipt enclosed to show that the response was timely filed. Examiner further advised Applicant that the application would not be abandoned, and that no petition to revive was needed..